

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 13, 2004 LB 813

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, I guess some of the legislative employees are ahead of the curve, because on some of our documents the "20" already is there. So are they in violation of the law?

SENATOR ENGEL: I'd have to ask someone of your expertise to tell me if they are.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Why do we have to put this in statute? And now I'm being very serious.

SENATOR ENGEL: Well, I'll tell you, Senator Chambers, I'll have to find out exactly why we have to put this in statute. Because personally, I do not know. Just a moment, please. I have the answer. They're existing statutes that we're bringing up to date.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: It already is in statute, so we are changing all of those statutes?

SENATOR ENGEL: That's my understanding. Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Suppose we had some kind of repealer that didn't make reference to date at all. And I'm trying to get an understanding, because ordinarily I don't review these Revisor's bills. But is this something that would have to be done in "21," the next century it would have to be done again?

SENATOR ENGEL: I'll check that, but I assume it will, but that's a dangerous word, so I will check that in just a moment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Are you asking, so that we don't have to just hold the bill, that I agree to let this move to Select, you will find the answer, and then we can carry on the discussion at that time, not necessarily on the...?

SENATOR ENGEL: I would appreciate that. That would be very beneficial to me also, because that would give me an opportunity to check it out. Yes.